

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1





	Audit Details						
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)	ZC: 413507362		Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZS: 413	3630024	
Business name (Company name):	MID BLUE TEXTILE IN	MID BLUE TEXTILE INDUSTRY AND TRADE LIMITED COMPANY				NY	
Site name:	MID BLUE TEXTILE IN	DUSTRY	AND TRAE	DE LIMITED (COMPA	NY	
Site address: (Please include full address)	Tevfikbey Mah. Şehit Erol Olçok Cad. Pantone Tekstil Apt. No.13 Küçükçekmece / İSTANBUL		Country:		Turkey		
Site contact and job title:	Gizem Çil / Accour	nt-HR M	anager				
Site phone:	0090 0212 423 02 07	7-08	Site e-mc	ıil:	muha	sebe@midbluetekstil.com.tr	
SMETA Audit Pillars:	∑ Labour Standards	Hees Safety Environ Pillar)		Enviror 4-pillar	nment	☐ Business Ethics	
Date of Audit:	26.02.2021						

Audit Company Name & Logo:	Report Owner (payer):
TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey TÜVRheinland® Precisely Right.	MID BLUE TEXTILE INDUSTRY AND TRADE LIMITED COMPANY

Audit Conducted By							
Affiliate Audit Company	\boxtimes	Purchaser		Retailer			
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union			
Multi– stakeholder			Combined Audit	(select all that appl	(y)		

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey Report reference: CA.2021 / 022 Date: 26.02.2021 Sedexglobal.com 2



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - · Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): The firm started its operation in June 2020, and there are 14 employees in total during the audit date. Almost %70 of the employees were hired starting with July 2020, therefore, July 2020, October 2020 and January 2021 were selected as sample size.

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Volkan Çınar

Team auditor: Oğulcan Faruk Erentay Interviewers: Oğulcan Faruk Erentay

Report writer: Volkan Çınar

Report reviewer: Mohammad Mozibul Haque Masum

Date of declaration: 26.02.2021

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

	Audit Parameters	5				
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 08:30 Day 1 Time out: 14:00		Day 2 Time in: Day 2 Time ou	t:	Day 3 Time in: Day 3 Time out:	
B: Number of auditor days used:	1 Man Day (2 Audito	rs x 0.5	Day)			
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow–up Partial Follow–Up Partial Other If other, please define	Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up				
D: Was the audit announced?	Announced Semi – announced: Window detail: weeks Unannounced					
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	☐ Yes ☑ No If No, why not: The firm	n did r	not fill the form.			
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes , please capture	e detai	l in appropriate	: audi	t by clause	
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Gizem Çil / Account-	HR Ma	nager			
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
I: Previous audit date:	N/A					
J: Previous audit type:	N/A					
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A					
Audit attendance	Management	Work	er Representativ	ves		
	Senior management	_	er Committee sentatives	Unio	n representatives	

Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives		
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives	
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey Report reference: CA.2021 / 022 Date: 26.02.2021 Sedexglobal.com 5



C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	N/A			
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	There is no Union at site.			

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey



Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

	Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances								
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow- up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non-Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re-occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90,180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
NC-1 Management Systems No.1	New	There is no Building Licence and Building Usage Permit at the facility. Firmada Bina Ruhsatı ve Yapı Kullanım İzni yoktur.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall have Building Licence and Building Usage Permit. Firma, Bina Ruhsatı ve Yapı Kullanım İzni almalıdır.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	desktop masaüstü	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager		
NC-2 Management Systems No.2	New	The Building Opening and Operating Permit is shown as 500 m2 in total; however, in accordance with the Building Registration Document, the total Building that the firm operates is shown as 1.111 m2. Firmanın İşyeri Açma ve Çalıştırma Ruhsatı 500 m2 olarak belirtilmiştir, ancak Yapı Kayıt Belgesine göre binanın kullanılan toplam alanı 1.111 m2 olarak belirtilmektedir.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall ensure that Business Opening and Operating Licence covers all building areas. Firmadaki İşyeri Açma ve Çalıştırma Ruhsatı binanın bütün alanlarını kapsaması gereklidir.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	DESKTOP MASAÜSTÜ	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager		

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey Report reference: CA.2021/022 Date: 26.02.2021 Sedexglobal.com 8



NC-3 Management Systems No.3	New	There is double bookkeeping at the firm. The national minimum salary is paid through bank and the remaining earnings and overtime payments are paid through cash. Firmada çift bordro uygulaması vardır. Bu durum asgari ücretin banka üzerinden ödenmesi ve kalan kazanım ve fazla mesailerin elden ödenmesidir.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall ensure that all earnings are paid through bank transfer. Firma, bütün kazanımların banka kanalıyla ödenmesini sağlamıştır.	60 DAYS 60 GÜN	FOLLOW UP TAKİP	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	
NC-4 Freedom of Association No.1	New	There are 2 worker representatives appointed at the firm, and they have administrative responsibilities. Firmada atama yoluyla belirlenen 2 çalışan temsilcisi yönetsel sorumluluğu olan kişilerden seçilmiştir.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall ensure that worker representatives are elected and do not have administrative responsibilities. Firma, çalışan temsilcilerinin seçimle belirlenmesini ve yönetsel sorumluluğu olmayan kişilerden seçilmesi sağlanmalıdır.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	DESKTOP MASAÜSTÜ	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	
NC-5 Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.1	New	In accordance with the Electric Internal Examination dated 20.08.2020, circuit breakers shall be implanted for each machine. 20.08.2020 tarihli Elektrik İç Tesisat raporuna göre kaçak akım röleleri her makina için yerleştirilmelidir.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall comply non compliances in the Electric Internal Examination report dated 20.08.2020. Firma, 20.08.2020 tarihli Elektrik İç Tesisat raporundaki uygunsuzluğu düzeltmelidir.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	DESKTOP MASAÜSTÜ	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	
NC-6 Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.2	New	Showroom emergency exit is sliding glass door, which is not complaint with the local regulations.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers	The firm shall ensure that showroom emergency exit is not sliding glass door.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	DESKTOP MASAÜSTÜ	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	



		Showroom acil çıkış kapısı sürgülü cam kapıdır ve yerel yönetmeliklere uygun değildir.	Other – please give details:	Firma, showroom acil çıkış kapısını yönetmeliklere uygun hale getirmelidir.				
NC-7 Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.3	New	In the sewing section 4 sewing machine have no pulley covers. Dikiş bölümünde 4 dikiş makinasının kasnak koruyucusu yoktur.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall have sewing machine covers for pulleys in the sewing section. Firma, dikiş bölümündeki 4 dikiş makinasının kasnak koruyucularını yerleştirmelidir.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	DESKTOP MASAÜSTÜ	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	
NC-8 Wages and Benefits No.1	New	There is double bookkeeping at the firm. The national minimum salary is paid through bank and the remaining earnings and overtime payments are paid through cash. Firmada çift bordro uygulaması vardır. Bu durum asgari ücretin banka üzerinden ödenmesi ve kalan kazanım ve fazla mesailerin elden ödenmesidir.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall ensure that all earnings are paid through bank transfer. Firma, bütün kazanımların banka kanalıyla ödenmesini sağlamıştır.	60 DAYS 60 GÜN	FOLLOW UP TAKİP	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	
NC-9 Environment No.1	New	There is no Letter of EIA and Environmental Permit at the facility. Firmada ÇED Görüşü ve Çevre İzni yoktur.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	The firm shall obtain Letter of EIA and Environment Licence. Firma, ÇED Görüşü ve Çevre İzni'ni edinmelidir.	30 DAYS 30 GÜN	DESKTOP MASAÜSTÜ	Gizem Çil / Account-HR Manager	

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey Report reference: CA.2021/022 Date: 26.02.2021 Sedexglobal.com



	Corrective Action Plan – Observations						
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)			
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

	Good examples					
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments				
Wages and Benefits No.1	Free lunch is provided to the employees	Verified through worker interview and management declaration				



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.							
A: Site Representative Signature:	Gizem Çil	Title: Account – HR Manager					
		Date: 26.02.2021					
B: Auditor Signature:	Volkan Çınar	Title: Lead Auditor					
	Oğulcan Faruk Erentay (Team Auditor)	Date: 26.02.2021					
C: Please indicate below if you, the site i	management, dispute any of the findings. No nee	ed to complete D-E, if no disputes.					
D: I dispute the following numbered non	-compliances: N/A						
E: Signed:	N/A	Title					
(If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)		Date					
F: Any other site Comments: N/A							

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND Turkey Report reference: CA.2021/022 Date: 26.02.2021 Sedexglobal.com



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP